

## REMARKS

Claims 22, 23, 25-30 and 32-36 stand rejected. Claims 24 and 31 are objected to. Claims 22, 25, 26, and 31 are amended, and claims 23, 24, and 31 are cancelled. Claims 22, 25-30, and 32-36 remain pending.

The Examiner's previous action indicated claims 24 and 31 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base and intervening claims.

Claim 22 is amended to recite language set forth in Claims 23 and 24, now cancelled. Claim 30 is amended to recite language set forth in Claim 31, now cancelled. No new matter is added.

Objection to Claim 22 and 30.

Claim 22 and Claim 30 are objected to because, according to the Examiner, it is not clear from where the optical stimulus and the optical response are generated and received from. It is respectfully urged that this objection is improper. Applicants are merely claiming the present invention as broadly as the law permits. It is respectfully urged that it is not necessary for the claims to specify from where the optical stimulus and the optical response are generated and received, as maintained by the Examiner. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the objection.

It is respectfully urged the claims, as amended, distinguish over the references cited by the Examiner. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and allow the claims as amended.

Respectfully submitted,

/Gerry Gressel/  
Gerry Gressel, reg#34,342

Johnson & Johnson  
One Johnson & Johnson Plaza  
New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003  
(513) 337-3535